₩ul. 17: 2007 4:42PM DUGAN & DUGAN P. C.

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER 2223 P. 1

JUL 17 2007

VALERIE G. DUGAN BRIAN M. DUGAN, PH.D.

DUGAN & DUGAN, PC

PATENTS, TRADEMARKS & COPYRIGHTS 55 SOUTH BROADWAY TARRYTOWN, NY 10591 (914)332-9081 TELEPHONE (914)332-9082 FACSIMILE DUGANEMAIL@DUGANPATENT.COM

FACSIMILE COVER SHEET

July 17, 2007

PLEASE DELIVER THE ATTACHED MESSAGE TO:

Examiner: Monica Lewis Phone No.: (571) 272-1838

Fax No.: (571) 273-8300

From: Brian M. Dugan

Our File No.: Docket No. SD-MA-110

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant : Cleeves et al.

Serial No. : 10/728,437

Filed: December 5, 2003

FOR : OPTIMIZATION OF CRITICAL DIMENSIONS

AND PITCH OF PATTERNED FEATURES IN AND

ABOVE A SUBSTRATE

Examiner : Monica Lewis

Group Art Unit: 2822

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES INCLUDING THIS PAGE: 3

THIS FACSIMILE IS INTENDED ONLY FOR THE USE OF THE ADDRESSEE. THE CONTENT OF THIS FACSIMILE IS PRIVILEGED AND CONFIDENTIAL. IF YOU HAVE RECEIVED THIS FACSIMILE IN ERROR, OR IF YOU HAVE NOT RECEIVED LEGIBLE COPIES OF ALL PAGES, PLEASE NOTIFY US BY TELEPHONE IMMEDIATELY.

الالتي 1. 17: 2007 4:42PM DUGAN & DUGAN P. C.

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTER No. 2223 P. 2 JUL 17 2007

SD-MA-110

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicants:

Cleeves et al.

Serial No.:

10/728,437

Filed:

December 5, 2003

For:

OPTIMIZATION OF CRITICAL DIMENSIONS AND PITCH OF PATTERNED FEATURES IN AND ABOVE

A SUBSTRATE

Examiner:

Monica Lewis

Art Unit:

2822

Filed:

December 5, 2003

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

SIR:

Applicants respectfully request entry of the following Interview Summary into the record of the above-captioned patent application.

RECEIVED CENTRAL FAX CENTERNO. 2223 P. 3 JUL 17 2007

SD-MA-110

INTERVIEW SUMMARY

On July 16, 2007, Examiner Monica Lewis returned the phone message of Applicants' representative, Catherine Ivers, Registration No. 59,565, to discuss this application.

The purpose of the discussion was to determine if objected to claim 10 of the Final Office Action dated June 6, 2007 would be allowable if rewritten to include the features of claim 1 without the features of intervening claims 2 to 5. The Examiner stated that she did not remember the particulars of that case and claim set. The Examiner reiterated that the standard policy is to include the features of intervening claims.

In summary, no agreement was reached on allowing objected to claim 10 to be rewritten to only include the features of claim 1. Furthermore, no references or other issues were discussed.

Respectfully submitted,

Catherine Ivers Reg. No. 59565

Dugan and Dugan, PC Agent for Applicants

(914) 332-9081

Dated: July 17, 2007

Tarrytown, New York